

Practitioner's Docket No. 35010/151US

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Andrew Timothy Patten et al.

Application No.: 10/570,941

Group No.: 2856

Filed: March 8, 2006

Examiner: Robert R. Raevis

For: METHOD FOR DETECTING CORROSION, EROSION OR PRODUCT
BUILDUP ON VIBRATING ELEMENT DENSITOMETERS AND CORIOLIS
FLOWMETERS AND CALIBRATION VALIDATION

**Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450**

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

This interview summary discusses the substance of the telephone interview between the undersigned and Examiner Raevis on July 31, 2008. Please enter the remarks as set forth below.

REMARKS

In the telephonic interview of July 31, 2008, the undersigned explained that the preamble should not be considered to limit the claims. The Examiner explained that he was uncomfortable examining the amended claims, because he was concerned that it could have application outside the flowmeter art. The undersigned explained that the scope of the invention should be determined by the body of the claims, rather than the preamble. The undersigned explained, that the present application clearly conveyed that the invention was not limited to Coriolis flowmeters and could be used with other devices, including, but not limited to densitometers. Accordingly, the Applicant disagreed that the original claims were limited to flowmeters or any particular device and submitted that the body rather than the preamble of the claim should govern the scope of the claim. The undersigned explained that he would request reconsideration. The Examiner explained that he would reconsider any such request for reconsideration, but could not at that time indicate whether such request would be granted.

Dated: August 14, 2008

/Michael Pruden/

SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

Michael Pruden, Reg. No. 52,135

Ollila Law Group, L.L.C.

Telephone: (303) 938-9999 ext. 22

Facsimile: (303) 938-9995